

Area Committee 1 Meeting: 26th November 2019

Supplementary Information:

Delivery Options for Elm Lane Proposal (Proposal 6)

a. We presented residents with all 8 options below, and asked them to rank their 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th choices, explaining that it was useful to know their other preferences because their 1st preference may not be possible/affordable.

1. Just 20mph road markings and some additional 20mph signs: likely to have a small impact on vehicle speeds on Elm Lane - **£2k**.
2. Elm Lane one-way: will reduce traffic volumes on Elm Lane which would need some tightening up of the junction with Lower Redland Road to make this very clear - **£25k** (including signing and road markings in 1)
3. Junction treatment at Elm Lane/Lower Redland Road Junction: will encourage slower speeds entering and along Elm Lane and improve pedestrian facilities - **£25k** (including the signing and road markings in 1.)
4. Junction treatment of Grove Road/Redland Hill and improvements to the Grove Road no-entry: will reduce the number of people ignoring the restrictions along Grove Road to use Elm Lane - **£50k** (including the signing and road markings in 1)
5. Options 2 and 3 combined: would address the concerns on Elm Lane but not Grove Road - **£40k** (some cost sharing across the two elements)
6. Options 2 and 4 combined: would reduce traffic volumes on Elm Lane and Grove Road but would not address concerns at the junction with Lower Redland Road - **£65k**
7. Options 3 and 4 combined: would address the concerns at either end of the route and on Grove Road, but not the concerns on Elm Lane - **£75k**
8. Options 2, 3, and 4 combined: would address all concerns - **£90k**.

b. Preferred options. The following options received the highest preferences:

Ranking	Option	Cost
1st preference:	Option 7	£75,000
2nd preference:	Option 3	£25,000
3rd preference:	Option 2	£25,000